



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/613,179 | 07/03/2003 | Demitri Anastassopoulos | MS1-1561US | 4899 |

22801 7590 03/12/2008
LEE & HAYES PLLC
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500
SPOKANE, WA 99201

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

BARBEE, MANUEL L

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2857

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

03/12/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/613,179 | Applicant(s) ANASTASSOPOULOS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner MANUEL L. BARBEE | Art Unit 2857 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MANUEL L. BARBEE. (3)_____.

(2) Emmanuel Rivera. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moulden, Jr. et al. (US 20060206870).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Rivera pointed out that the application teaches an embodiment with a thin client that does not have a test component, whereas Moulden teaches that the target machine corresponding to the client has a test tool agent. It is unclear whether the test tool agent has functionality.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Manuel L. Barbee/
Examiner, Art Unit 2857

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required